# Working At Iowa Survey University College Organizational Report <br> November 2020 

## Introduction

The Working at Iowa (WAI) survey supports productivity and retention of University faculty and staff. Survey responses provide an understanding of the strengths of your work culture and identify opportunities to support your organizational mission and strategic goals. In 2020, UI Health Care participated in Working at Iowa powered by Press Ganey, an engagement survey that allows benchmarking with similar institutions while also providing responses to ten (10) WAI questions for trending purposes.

## Engagement Index

Included in this report is a measure of engagement, provided by Eean Crawford, a faculty member in the Tippie College of Business. Engagement behaviors show how personally connected people are to their jobs in terms of giving their full effort, paying close attention to their work, and emotionally caring about what they do.

## Survey Respondents and Participation Rates

Survey respondents are summarized by employee category and percent participation of the eligible population. All response information is reported as percentages to protect the confidentiality of respondents. Similarly, where there are fewer than ten (10) responses in any report category, no data is provided to protect the confidentiality of respondents.

## Survey Results

The Trended Comparison section of this report displays the 2020 UI results with those from 2016 and 2018, but for consistent data comparison results from UI Health Care are excluded. Color and shading illustrate the difference in survey responses across the six options (strongly agree, agree, slightly agree, slightly disagree, disagree, and strongly disagree). The survey data are a snapshot taken at a point in time. In comparison to past years, it does not represent the change within a constant cohort of respondents.

## Resources

The Senior Human Resource Leader in your organization is available to support the communication and use of survey results, drawing upon the support of University Human Resources as needed. Just in time resources and contact information are available on the Working at Iowa - Survey 2020 website: https: //hr.uiowa.edu/administrative-services/working-iowa.
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## Demographics for Survey Respondents

Distribution of Survey Respondents and Participation by Job Classification

|  | Number in <br> population | $\%$ of total in <br> population | Number <br> participated | $\%$ of total <br> participated | $\%$ participated <br> of number in <br> population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Jobs | 73 | 100.0 | 69 | 100.0 | 94.5 |
| Total | 73 | 100.0 | 69 | 100.0 | 94.5 |

Distribution of Survey Respondents and Participation by Age Range

|  | Number in <br> population | \% of total in <br> population | Number <br> participated | $\%$ of total <br> participated | \% participated <br> of number in <br> population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<31$ | 10 | 13.7 | 10 | 14.5 | 100.0 |
| $31-40$ | 19 | 26.0 | 17 | 24.6 | 89.5 |
| $41-50$ | 15 | 20.5 | 15 | 21.7 | 100.0 |
| $51-60$ | 16 | 21.9 | 15 | 21.7 | 93.8 |
| $61+$ | 13 | 17.8 | 12 | 17.4 | 92.3 |

Distribution of Survey Respondents and Participation by Gender

|  | Number in <br> population | \% of total in <br> population | Number <br> participated | \% of total <br> participated | \% participated <br> of number in <br> population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 53 | 72.6 | 49 | 71.0 | 92.5 |
| Male | 20 | 27.4 | 20 | 29.0 | 100.0 |

## Engagement Score Result

Engagement behaviors show how personally connected people are to their jobs in terms of giving their full effort, paying close attention to their work, and emotionally caring about what they do. ${ }^{1}$ The Working at Iowa (WAI) Survey supports the engagement and productivity of University faculty and staff. To measure engagement, a validated scale ${ }^{2}$ was used that asks how much an individual focuses their physical, mental and emotional energy at work according to these WAI statements:

| Physical Engagement | Mental Engagement | Emotional Engagement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I work with high energy. | I give my full attention to my job. | I put my emotions into what I do. |
| I exert my full effort. | I concentrate completely. | I am emotionally connected. |
| I devote a lot of my energy. | My mind is focused on the work that I do. | I put my feelings into my work. |

The charts below display average engagement scores based upon these survey items.


[^0]
## Unit Engagement Strengths and Areas for Improvement

How strong is the relationship of Engagement and Working at Iowa perceptions? The first graph displays how Working at Iowa statements, taken as a whole, correlated with the engagement score for the University of Iowa and for your specific organization. The correlation indicates how efforts to improve Working at Iowa might be associated with improved engagement. How strong are these correlations? A benchmark study ${ }^{3}$ found that measures of attitudes and behavior are strongly related at approximately 0.30 , moderately related at approximately 0.20 , and weakly related at approximately 0.10 .


Organization correlation is considered high

For what specific WAI statements might you take action? The second graph displays three areas of strength and three areas for improvement for your organization relative to the UI. Recognize that efforts to maintain or improve a specific area might be important to your org for reasons other than engagement.

1. Percentile - ranks your organization mean relative to all other organizations at UI on that statement (e.g. a $91-100 \%$ percentile means that your organization mean was higher than at least $90 \%$ of the organizations at UI on that statement).
2. Bar Plot - displays your organization mean relative to the UI mean on that statement. $1=$ Strongly Disagree, $2=$ Disagree, $3=$ Slightly Disagree, $4=$ Slightly Agree, $5=$ Agree, $6=$ Strongly Agree .

[^1]Survey Analysis - Trended Comparison 2016-2020

| Strongly Agree | Agree | Slightly Agree | Slightly Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Q1: I know my work expectations


Q2: I receive work feedback regularly


Q3: My supervisor's feedback is helpful


Q4: My supervisor acknowledges my good work


Q5: My professional development is encouraged


Q6: My supervisor treats me with respect


Q7: My unit goals are clear


Q8: My unit focuses on excellent service


Q9: My unit distributes workloads fairly


Q10: My unit supports work and personal life


Response proportions less than $4 \%$ are displayed by asterisks with:

$$
" \mathrm{n}=<1 \%, *=1 \%-2 \%, * *=2 \%-3 \%, * * *=3 \%-4 \%
$$

Q11: Constructive management of work conflicts


Q12: My supervisor is open to hearing concerns


Q13: Civil and respectful coworkers


Q14: Supportive environment for diversity


Q15: I say great things about working in my unit


Q16: Understand how job fits overall mission of UI


Q17: Recommend UI to friend seeking employment


Q18: UI recognizes accomplishments of faculty and staff


Q19: UI treats faculty and staff with respect


Q20: There are opportunities for promotion at UI


Response proportions less than $4 \%$ are displayed by asterisks with:

$$
" \mathrm{~N}=<1 \%, *=1 \%-2 \%, * *=2 \%-3 \%, * * *=3 \%-4 \%
$$

## Job Classification by Year - Percent Agrees Trended Comparisons

Q1: I know my work expectations

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $91 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| 2018 | $91 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 2016 | $100 \%$ | $95 \%$ |



Q2: I receive work feedback regularly

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $87 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| 2018 | $71 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| 2016 | $83 \%$ | $82 \%$ |



Q3: My supervisor's feedback is helpful


Q4: My supervisor acknowledges my good work

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $91 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 2018 | $90 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 2016 | $91 \%$ | $86 \%$ |



Q5: My professional development is encouraged

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $91 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| 2018 | $91 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| 2016 | $91 \%$ | $83 \%$ |



Q6: My supervisor treats me with respect

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $91 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| 2018 | $95 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| 2016 | $87 \%$ | $91 \%$ |



Q7: My unit goals are clear

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $81 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 2018 | $79 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 2016 | $78 \%$ | $86 \%$ |



Q8: My unit focuses on excellent service

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 2018 | $98 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| 2016 | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ |



Q9: My unit distributes workloads fairly

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $76 \%$ | $74 \%$ |
| 2018 | $69 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| 2016 | $73 \%$ | $72 \%$ |



Q10: My unit supports work and personal life

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $94 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| 2018 | $89 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| 2016 | $86 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| $<70$ | 80 | 90 |



Q11: Constructive management of work conflicts

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $78 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| 2018 | $75 \%$ | $76 \%$ |
| 2016 | $59 \%$ | $75 \%$ |



Q12: My supervisor is open to hearing concerns

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $86 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| 2018 | $90 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| 2016 | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ |



Q13: Civil and respectful coworkers

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $91 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| 2018 | $98 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 2016 | $83 \%$ | $88 \%$ |



Q14: Supportive environment for diversity

|  | ORG | UI | $\begin{aligned} & \text { O. } \\ & \mathscr{Q}^{\circ} \\ & 0 \\ & \mathbb{C}^{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | Summary of Agrees |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 88\% | 86\% |  |  | - |  |
| 2018 | 89\% | 86\% |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 77\% | 88\% |  |  |  |  |

Q15: I say great things about working in my unit

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $88 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| 2018 | $91 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| 2016 | $91 \%$ | $86 \%$ | |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<70$ |  |  |


—UI - ORG

Q16: Understand how job fits overall mission of UI


Q17: Recommend UI to friend seeking employment


Q18: Ul recognizes accomplishments of faculty and staff

|  | ORG | UI |  | Summary of Agrees |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 72\% | 75\% |  | , |  |  |
| 2018 | 68\% | 71\% |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 2016 | 91\% | 74\% |  |  |  |  |

Q19: UI treats faculty and staff with respect


Q20: There are opportunities for promotion at UI

|  | ORG | UI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $71 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| 2018 | $70 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| 2016 | $86 \%$ | $74 \%$ | |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $<70$ | 80 | 90 |



Survey Analysis - Snapshot Results for 2020 by Job Category

| Strongly Agree | Agree | Slightly Agree | Slightly Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Q1: I know my work expectations


Q2: I receive work feedback regularly


Q3: My supervisor's feedback is helpful


Q4: My supervisor acknowledges my good work


Q5: My professional development is encouraged


Response proportions less than $4 \%$ are displayed by asterisks with:

$$
" "=<1 \%, *=1 \%-2 \%, * *=2 \%-3 \%, * * *=3 \%-4 \%
$$

| Strongly Agree | Agree | Slightly Agree | Slightly Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Q6: My supervisor treats me with respect


Q7: My unit goals are clear


Q8: My unit focuses on excellent service


Q9: My unit distributes workloads fairly


Q10: My unit supports work and personal life


Response proportions less than $4 \%$ are displayed by asterisks with:

$$
" "=<1 \%, *=1 \%-2 \%, * *=2 \%-3 \%, * * *=3 \%-4 \%
$$

| Strongly Agree | Agree | Slightly Agree | Slightly Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Q11: Constructive management of work conflicts


Q12: My supervisor is open to hearing concerns


Q13: Civil and respectful coworkers


Q14: Supportive environment for diversity


Q15: I say great things about working in my unit


Response proportions less than $4 \%$ are displayed by asterisks with:

$$
" "=<1 \%, *=1 \%-2 \%, * *=2 \%-3 \%, * * *=3 \%-4 \%
$$

| Strongly Agree | Agree | Slightly Agree | Slightly Disagree | Disagree | Strongly Disagree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Q16: Understand how job fits overall mission of UI


Q17: Recommend UI to friend seeking employment


Q18: Ul recognizes accomplishments of faculty and staff


Q19: UI treats faculty and staff with respect


Q20: There are opportunities for promotion at UI

| UI | $15 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ORG | $14 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

Response proportions less than $4 \%$ are displayed by asterisks with:

$$
" "=<1 \%, *=1 \%-2 \%, * *=2 \%-3 \%, * * *=3 \%-4 \%
$$

## Technical Notes

1. Each of the bar charts display the percentages of responses at each level of the Likert scale and are color-coded as follows:
(a) Strongly Disagree - Dark Red (Burgundy)
(b) Disagree - Red
(c) Slightly Disagree - Pink
(d) Slightly Agree - Pale Green
(e) Agree - Green
(f) Strongly Agree - Dark Green
2. Each survey item must have ten (10) respondents for percentage data to be displayed. Survey items with fewer than ten respondents do not have data displayed, but those respondents are included in reports for larger units of which they are members.
3. Job category is based upon primary, regular appointments and grouped as faculty (all appointment types), Professional and Scientific (including those represented by SEIU) and Merit staff (including those represented by AFSCME, as well as supervisory and confidential staff).
4. If an Organizational Unit or Department has two job categories with nine or fewer respondents in each, those responses are combined with others to protect confidentiality in the survey results. The rules for combining job classifications are listed below. If the combined group still has fewer than ten (10) respondents, only the Organizational Unit or Department results are presented.
(a) Faculty and Merit each have fewer than 10 - Combined both with PS/SEIU and provide only Organizational Unit or Departmental results.
(b) PS/SEIU and Merit each have fewer than 10 - Combine as PS/SEIU/Merit.
(c) Faculty and PS/SEIU each have fewer than 10 - Combine as Faculty/PS/SEIU.
5. If an Organizational Unit or Department has one job category with nine or fewer respondents, the following combined categories are reported in the Snapshot results.
(a) Faculty has fewer than 10 - Combine with PS/SEIU as Faculty/PS/SEIU.
(b) PS/SEIU has fewer than 10 - Combine with Merit as PS/SEIU/Merit.
(c) Merit has fewer than 10 - Combine with PS/SEIU as PS/SEIU/Merit.
6. Age categories with less than 10 respondents are combined with nearby categories until all categories displayed have 10 or more.
7. If a Gender has less than 10 respondents, Female and Male categories are combined into Both Genders category.
8. The colors for the Job Classification by Year - Percent Agrees Trended Comparisons tables were chosen based on past results. The proportion of Agrees (Strongly Agree, Agree, Slightly Agree) were calculated for all 20 survey items across all ORGs at the University. Tertiles (i.e., lower third, middle third, and upper third) were calculated within this distribution of Percent Agrees to help create regions that could be interpreted as upper third, middle third, and lower third. These tertiles corresponded to proportions near $80 \%$ and $90 \%$. For the tables, any percent agree less than $80 \%$ were shaded in red tones (lower third), any percent agrees between $80 \%$ and $90 \%$ were shaded in yellow tones (middle third), and any percent agrees greater than $90 \%$ were shaded in green tones (upper third).
9. A second-order Structural Equation Model (SEM) was used to obtain the correlation estimate between an overall composite of the Working at Iowa survey questions and an overall composite of the Engagement survey questions. The validity of an overall composite for both Working at Iowa and Engagement
survey questions was established separately using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). Details of this analysis are available upon request.
10. UI Health Care did not participate in the 2020 WAI survey. Hence, the population total reported in WAI for UI this year is lower than in previous years. To properly compare 2020 results to previous years, 2016 and 2018 UI Health Care data has been removed before generating statistics and graphics.

[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kahn, W.A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. Academy of Management Journal, 33, 692-724.
    ${ }^{2}$ Crawford, E.R., LePine, J.A., \& Buckman, B.R. (2013). Job engagement scale short form items adapted from Rich, B.L., LePine, J.A., \& Crawford, E.R. (2010). Job engagement: Antecedents and effects on job performance. Academy of Management Journal, 53, 617-635.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Bosco, F.A., Aguinis, H., Singh, K., Field, J.G., \& Pierce, C.A. (2015). Correlational effect size benchmarks. Journal of Applied Psychology, 100, 431-449.

